UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/541,461 | 05/30/2006 | Yijun Ye | 584642000600 | 1084 |
| | 7590 07/21/200 FOERSTER LLP | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| | BOULEVARD | | SZEKELY, PETER A | |
| SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/21/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Applica | ition No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | ,461 | YE, YIJUN | | | | |
| | | er | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Peter Sa | zekely | 1796 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply | unication appears on t | he cover sheet with the | correspondence ad | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | MAILING DATE OF ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no mmunication. In statutory period will apply and ply will, by statute, cause the assafter the mailing date of this | THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) | filed on 06 July 2005 | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b) This action is | non-final | | | | | |
| <u>′</u> | / | | | | | | |
| ,— | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | · | , | | | | | |
| · | application | | | | | | |
| | 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| O)ES Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to resum | Stiorrand/or election i | equilement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any ob | jection to the drawing(s |) be held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office ac | ty documents have be ty documents have be s of the priority docu tional Bureau (PCT R | een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv tule 17.2(a)). | tion No red in this National | l Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/6/05. | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/541,461 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-14, drawn to a composition.

Group II, claim(s) 15-21, drawn to a film.

Group III, claim(s) 22-29, drawn to a laminate.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of the flame retardant resin composition which comprises "at least one polyester containing phosphorus,; and about 1.0 to about 15 weight % based on the total weight of the composition, of at least one platy inorganic material" does not provide a contribution over the prior art, since it is disclosed by the prior art, Golder et al. U.S. Patent 5,114,995 in claims 1 and 7.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Barry Bretschneider on 7/16/08 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,461 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/541,461 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:10 a.m.-4:40 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

/P. S./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 7/17/08